

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/780,006	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GROTH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher Upton	<b>Art Unit</b> 1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) William Blackman.

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
18

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Prior art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claim 18 to recite the substantially flattened top surface to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**CHRISTOPHER UPTON**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

---

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

---

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)